Telephone

Date

To-Name & Department

7-9-92

CIMS Number

M. SCALES
From-Name & Department

AREA MGR./ DEPT.86

MOUND ROAD ENGINE

446-10-00 CIMS Number

TOM PIETRAS

TOOL ENGINEERING

MOUND ROAD ENGINE

446-10-00

Subject:

TEST RESULTS FOR TREATED HOBS IN DEPT. 86, DIST. DRIVE GEARS 1323368-T-20 (86-500-0003)

IN FEBRUARY, 1992 I BEGAN TESTING TREATED HOBS IN DEPT. 86, OP.40. TWO DIFFERENT SURFACE TREATMENTS WERE TESTED AND EVALUATED. THESE TREATMENTS AND THE SUPPLYING VENDORS ARE:

- 1) DYNA-BLUE (DYNAMIC METAL TREATING)
- 2) TITANIUM NITRITE (MULTI-ARC SCIENTIFIC COATINGS)

THE RESULTS OF MY TESTS ARE AS FOLLOWS:

DYNA-BLUE TREATED HOBS

THESE HOBS AVERAGED 868 PIECES RUN (700 PCS. TO 1452 PCS.) BEFORE HAVING TO CHANGE TOOLS FOR ONE OF THE FOLLOWING REASONS: BURRS OR DRIVE CURVE. THE HOBS WERE THEN RESHARPENED, WITH AN AVERAGE STOCK REMOVAL OF .015 - .020. THESE HOBS WERE NOT RETREATED UNTIL AFTER THE 4TH REGRIND. AFTER THEY WERE RETREATED WE WERE ABLE TO REGRIND THEM AN ADDITIONAL (8) TIMES WHILE STILL ACHIEVING THE ABOVE MENTIONED AVERAGE OF 868 PIECES EACH TIME THE HOBS WERE USED. PLEASE SEE TOOL PERFORMANCE REPORT # 1 FOR A MORE IN DEPTH ANALYSIS OF DYNA-BLUE TREATED HOBS VS. NORMAL HOBS.

TITANIUM NITRITE TREATED HOBS

THESE HOBS AVERAGED 642 PIECES RUN (219 PCS. TO 910 PCS.) BEFORE TOOL CHANGE. THE MAJOR REASON FOR CHANGING TOOLS WAS BURRS. THEY WERE THEN RESHARPENED, AVERAGE STOCK REMOVAL OF .015 - .020, AND SENT BACK TO THE VENDOR FOR RETREATING (RECOATING).PLEASE SEE TOOL PERFORMANCE REPORT # 2 FOR AN IN DEPTH ANALYSIS OF TITANIUM NITRITE COATED HOBS VS. NORMAL HOBS.

WHILE BOTH TYPES OF TREATED HOBS OUT PERFORMED OUR NORMAL HOB, THE DYNA-BLUE TREATED HOBS CONSISTENTLY PRODUCED MORE PIECES THAN THE TITANIUM NITRITE TREATED HOBS (868 PCS. VS.642 PCS.). ANOTHER MAJOR CONSIDERATION IS THAT THE DYNA-BLUE TREATED HOBS DID NOT REQUIRE RETREATING EACH TIME THEY WERE RESHARPENED, WHILE THE TITANIUM NITRITE COATED HOBS REQUIRED RETREATING EACH TIME THAT THEY WERE RESHARPENED. TOOL PERFORMANCE REPORT # 3 COMPARES THE RESULTS OF REPORT # 1 VS. REPORT # 2.

TOOL PERFORMANCE REPORT # 3

DEPT 86		OPERATION - 40 - GEAR HOBBERS	
TEST TITANIUM NITRITE TREATED TOOL REPORT # 2 - 1323368-T-20		CODE NO. 86-500-0003	
TEST DYNA-BLUE TREATED TOOL REPORT # 1 - 1323368-T-20	-	TOOL TYPE - GEA	R HOB
PART NAME - DIST. DRIVE GEAR		MATERIAL - CAST IRON - MS 891	
RPM - UNKNOWN	SFM - UNKNOWN	_	IPM - UNKNOWN

	,	
TOOL PERFORMANCE	TIT.NITRITE	DYNA-BLUE
A. AVERAGE NO. PIECES PER GRIND/INDEX B. NO. OF GRINDS/INDEXES PER TOOL C. TOTAL PIECES PER TOOL (A x B) D. REQUIRED PARTS (DRIVE GEARS) PER YEAR E. REQUIRED TOOLS PER YEAR (D / C)	642 12 7704 216000 28	868 12 10416 216000 20.74
CUTTER GRIND COSTS		
F. LABOR RATE (\$ / HR.) G. TIME TO REGRIND (1 TOOL) H. TOTAL SHARPENING/LABOR HOURS (B x G) I. TOTAL LABOR COST (F x H) TOOL COSTS	\$26.09 0.5 6 \$156.54	0.5
J. PRICE OF (1) TOOL K. COST TO RETREAT (RECOAT) L. ADDITIONAL TREATMENTS REQUIRED M. TOTAL COST PER TOOL (I + J + K x L) N. COST PER PIECE (DRIVE GEARS) (M / C)	\$176.60 \$27.00 12 \$657.14 \$0.0853	\$16.25 1 \$338.64

TOTAL TOOL COST PER YEAR	\$18,424.49	\$7,022.49
TOOL SAVINGS PER YEAR	\$11,402.00	
PERCENT OF SAVINGS	61.9%	·

BASED ON THESE FINDINGS I STRONGLY RECOMMEND THAT WE NOW DYNA-BLUE TREAT TOOL 1618667-T-14 (86-500-0002). I WILL MAKE THE NECESSARY CHANGES TO THE BLUE PRINT AND SEND OUT ALL OF OUR EXISTING HOBS TO BE DYNA-BLUED. THE OPERATION SHEETS SHOULD BE CHANGED TO REFLECT A 900 PIECE TOOL CHANGE FREQUENCY. ALSO, IN ORDER TO PROPERLY TRACK EACH HOB, CUTTER GRIND SHOULD MARK EACH TOOL AFTER EVERY REGRIND. THIS WILL AID IN IDENTIFYING A HOB THAT HAS REACHED THE END OF IT'S USABLE TOOL LIFE.

T. PIETRAS
TOOL ENGINEER

CC. E. GILBERT

- D. MITCHELL
- R. KAPSA
- W. HELBIG
- P. SCHNEIDER
- M. MAZZOLA
- J. BAILIFF
- J. GENORD
- A. WRIGHT